1 (Case called)

2.3

MR. McCANN: Good morning, your Honor. Max McCann of the New York City Law Department. Along with me is Melanie Speight, Assistant Corporation Counsel. Ms. Speight is not admitted for the Southern District, but with your Honor's permission she would like to participate in the conference today.

THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.

Is anyone here on behalf of Mr. McKinley? No one has responded to that effect. Thank you. Why don't you be seated, counsel, and let me put some matters on the record.

This is our initial conference in this case. I sent out a scheduling order for this conference on January 5th, setting today, February 16th, as the date for the initial conference. We do not know whether the plaintiff has received notice of this conference. We expect he has not. We recently received as return mail, which was undelivered, the order issued on January 4th, which affected the time to answer for defendant Campbell and extended his time to answer to February 2nd.

My chambers spoke with defense counsel yesterday when we received this piece of mail back and learned that the plaintiff has been transferred. We now understand what may be a current mailing address for the plaintiff. We will advise the clerk of court of that change of mailing address and thank

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I want to advise the plaintiff through this transcript again of his duty to provide the clerk of court with current contact information and of course to provide that also to defense counsel. At some point if he fails to do so, he risks this case being dismissed for failure to prosecute.

That preliminary statement having been made, I'll ask you, Ms. Speight, to describe what you understand the core of the plaintiff's complaint to be.

MS. SPEIGHT: Your Honor, defendant's position is the plaintiff is bringing an excessive force claim against six members of the New York City Department of Correction. He alleges that on August 24th of 2011 he was involved in an altercation with four officers — Correction Officer Hall, Correction Officer Wouyeah, Captain Marte, and Correction Officer Urena — in which the officers used excessive force by means of punches and kicks towards the plaintiff.

2.3

He also alleges that he went to a hearing pertaining to this alleged assault on August 31, 2011, and that at that hearing he was assaulted by additional members of the New York City Department of Correction, including several parties who are not named specifically and are not parties to this action, as well as defendants Atkinson, the John Doe defendant who has been identified as Officer Campbell, and that's it, on the 31st.

THE COURT: Have you been in communication with the plaintiff?

MS. SPEIGHT: We have not, your Honor. We sent the plaintiff correspondence to the address on the docket sheet. When we learned of the plaintiff's likely transfer to a state facility, we began mailing correspondence to both the address on the docket sheet and the upstate facility. We have not yet received any return mail from either address.

THE COURT: Thank you. The plaintiff has made no request for further assistance to identify the John Does associated with the August 31 alleged assault?

MS. SPEIGHT: To our knowledge, he has not, your Honor.

THE COURT: As part of the automatic disclosure that will now be made to him, will those officers' names be clear on the documents that are produced?

MS. SPEIGHT: It is unclear at this time, your Honor.

There is an issue with the materials because all DOC force instances require a use of force allegation packet, or a use of force packet, if it is substantiated, is created. In this instance, since the events are recent, we have been informed by the Department of Corrections that both investigations are still ongoing, so we actually have not been able to obtain the paperwork.

In fact, if it would be permissible to your Honor, we would like to request a 60-day stay of discovery, including our time to respond to the 33.2 request, so that hopefully the investigations will be concluded and we will be able to obtain that paperwork. Based on the State Department of Corrections website, that would also give time to the plaintiff to possibly be released, as his conditional release date is listed as April 12th.

THE COURT: It will become even more important that the plaintiff keep the defendants and the clerk of court advised of a mailing address and contact information if he is released on April 12th or whenever he is released if he wishes to pursue this lawsuit.

I will grant your request. It sounds like it would be impossible to proceed with discovery in this case until the documents can be obtained that are critical to the plaintiff's allegations.

I'm going to set a schedule that requires a status

hoping to learn whether the investigation has been completed

letter from the defendants by April 27th. In that letter I am

such that the paperwork associated with an allegation by a

plaintiff that there has been an excessive use of force is now

available to Corp. Counsel and can be produced to the

plaintiff.

Of course, if you have already produced that paperwork, you can advise me of that fact. If the paperwork is not yet available, I'd like a report in that letter as to when the investigation is likely to be concluded and the paperwork made available.

Using April 27th as a working date, I'm going to assume that the material has been produced to the plaintiff by that time, and that if he wishes to amend his pleading to add additional officers, that must happen by June 1. If there is an amended complaint filed by June 1, I'll be issuing an order requesting again that the Department of Corrections cooperate with respect to service.

I'm going to assume, though, that meanwhile document discovery is proceeding, and if the plaintiff wishes any further documents than he has already received from the defendants, that he has requested those in writing. We will provide the summer for an opportunity for the parties to take any depositions if this litigation is proceeding and close fact discovery September 14th.

It doesn't sound like there will be expert discovery here. There may be serious injuries in which expert discovery would be appropriate, I do not know. If the plaintiff wishes an opportunity to provide expert testimony, he can write before September 14th, and I'll revise the schedules to permit a period for expert discovery as well.

I'm going to set a schedule for summary judgment practice. Any summary judgment motion should be filed by October 12th, with opposition due November 16th and reply due December 7th. If there is no summary judgment motion, then the plaintiff's statement of what he intends to prove at trial will be due on October 26th and I'll set a schedule that will set dates to follow for the defendants' submission.

I'm not going to refer this case right now to a magistrate judge for settlement discussions. I don't know right now who our magistrate judge assigned to this case is.

But if this case proceeds, if the plaintiff is in communication with the Court and defense counsel and discovery proceeds, I will be referring it at some point to the magistrate judge for settlement discussions.

I believe the magistrate judge is Judge Maas. Now that I have his name, assuming all goes as scheduled here, I'll require defense counsel to coordinate with the plaintiff but to call Magistrate Judge Maas no later than July 13 to arrange for settlement discussions under his supervision.

2.3

Ms. Speight, is there anything else that we need to do?

MR. McCANN: If I may, your Honor, in the interests of determining if the plaintiff is interested in pursuing this litigation, we ask perhaps that the Court set a date certain by which he is to update the docket sheet with his current address, perhaps two weeks.

THE COURT: You believe you have his current contact information?

MR. McCANN: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to assume that it will take roughly two weeks for a transcript to be created and two further weeks for it to be provided to the plaintiff. I'm being a little generous in these dates here just so we give the plaintiff enough time. The plaintiff must provide the clerk of court and the defendant by April 13th with his current contact information or the case will be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

MR. McCANN: Nothing further from defendants.

THE COURT: Let me address one issue. If the plaintiff does choose to pursue this lawsuit and participate in discovery and if there are disputes between the plaintiff and defendants regarding discovery, I'm advising all the parties, the plaintiff and defense counsel, that they must be cooperative in discussing those disputes with each other and